11/29/2021

Case # COSO 21005651

Honorable Judge Gilman:

As much as I don't want to influence your ruling, I am compelled to present facts to clarify your confusion in this case as it relates to the interest rate charged and the actual interest paid toward this \$2,000 loan to Mr. Santos.

As you can see from the XL charts on the following pages, Mr. Santos only paid **\$135.00** in interest of the \$500 in interest that he had offered for a period of 2 months.

In October 2019, I refinanced the original loan that he had defaulted on, for 18 months at 22% interest. After deducting \$135.48 in interest & \$281.19 in Principal, the refinanced amount was \$2105.00 from the original \$2500.

He paid on the new term, 13 payments out of 18, where he stopped. The total interest he paid in that 18-month term was **\$346.87**

The total amount he paid between the 2 loan terms was \$482.35.

As you can see, I never realized the \$500 offered in 2 months, or 6-months as stated in the contract, nor did I realize it in the total of 17 months that he made payments. It seems that I, got the short end of that deal!

Also, the 22% over an 18-month period, that seemingly shocked you, was in fact a much better deal than the \$500, he was willing to pay over a 2-month period. Besides, he is not family to me, he is my ex-wife's cousin.

As for the late fees, I feel that they are just, and due, as from the bank statements that he provided as evidence, he could have made the payments on time, like the first 3 payments that he made. I truly believe that he paid late just in spite, as he had no intention of paying me back at all, I believe that he did pay ONLY because I threatened to take him to court.

In the evidence that he sent me, there was no "Second Contract" or a "Forged Signature" as he claimed, nor was the original contract included.

I hope this clear up any confusion you might have had over the interest offered & actual interest paid.

Sincerely

Marc Buck



