

BUCK Vs Santos

5 messages

Marc Buck <box2boxmail@gmail.com> To: rogerslaw1@aol.com

Fri, Mar 4, 2022 at 8:49 AM

Fri, Mar 4, 2022 at 9:07 AM



Harvey D. Rogers, Esq. <rogerslaw1@aol.com>

Reply-To: "Harvey D. Rogers, Esq." <rogerslaw1@aol.com> To: "box2boxmail@gmail.com" <box2boxmail@gmail.com>

Cc: "dianelysperezlinares@gmail.com" <dianelysperezlinares@gmail.com>

Is it Mark or Marc?

Good morning.

You have continued to post patent improper posting on line and I don't need to bring it to your attention since you know about that.

With respect to your response, I received none and will enjoy receipt of the same for review.

In the interim, you do have a 57.105 motion that we filed notice with you, and will file of record if this matter is not dismissed. In that event, you and your wife will be subject to substantial sanctions. Do you really want to go there?

Please immediately stop the garbage you continue posting about my client and their daughter.

Sincerely yours,

Harvey D. Rogers, Esq.
Harvey D. Rogers, P.A.
Ocean Bank Building, Suite 521
2655 Le Jeune Road
Coral Gables, Florida 33134
(305) 579-2100
Rogerslaw1@aol.com
Rogerslawoffice1@aol.com

This electronic transmission and any accompanying documents is within the scope of the Electronic Communication Privacy Act 18 USCA 2510 and contain information belonging to the sender and may be confidential or privileged. Accordingly, the information is intended solely for the use of the designated recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, use or action taken in reliance on the contents of the information contained in this transmission is strictly prohibited under 18 USCA 2511 et seq.. If you received this transmission in error, please immediately call me to let me know of your receipt, and thereafter please permanently delete this transmission and any attachment. We appreciate your cooperation in this matter.

----Original Message-----

From: Marc Buck <box2boxmail@gmail.com>

To: rogerslaw1@aol.com Sent: Fri, Mar 4, 2022 8:49 am Subject: BUCK Vs Santos

Marc Buck
box2boxmail@gmail.com>

To: "Harvey D. Rogers, Esq." <rogerslaw1@aol.com>

Fri, Mar 4, 2022 at 9:23 AM

Fri, Mar 4, 2022 at 9:50 AM

You don't scare me,

show me the postings you're talking about... sounds like BS to me.

Mark is the Now legal name.

[Quoted text hidden]

Harvey D. Rogers, Esq. <rogerslaw1@aol.com>

Reply-To: "Harvey D. Rogers, Esq." <rogerslaw1@aol.com>
To: "box2boxmail@gmail.com" <box2boxmail@gmail.com>

Cc: "dianelysperezlinares@gmail.com" < dianelysperezlinares@gmail.com>

Mr. Buck:

I suggest that you keep you extraneous and malicious comments to yourself. Stop attacking me. Your looking at issues that you either don't understand or are unwilling to face. Maybe you need to be represented since your far too deeply involved in this matter. Your running up a fee bill with me that you wont be able to pay.

With respect to your slander, if you do not remove the same from your posts, you will face the consequences of your action and statements.

I am a lawyer and represent individuals as best that I can, but I am not personally involved in their issues. You misread *Doss* which I cited in the memorandum, it stands for the proposition that the dismissal needs to show that the underlying claim was without merit. The Agreement shows that there was clear merit in the claims and you agreed to stay away and not post any matters on line which is indicative of your and your wife's noxious conduct. My understanding that the underlying claims

was your misconduct with the child and posting matters on line, as you do currently. The cases were clearly filed on behalf of the child not my clients, and the settlement of the cases were based on an agreement for you to cease and desist, and additionally were not dismissed with prejudice. Do you understand?

That means if you continue with your conduct and that of your wife's in violation of the Agreement, you will be subject to claims being made against you and your wife and another filing of a D/V.

Therefore, you need to voluntarily dismiss this action with prejudice, and this is our good faith effort for you to do so.

[Quoted text hidden]

Marc Buck

box2boxmail@gmail.com>
To: "Harvey D. Rogers, Esq." <rogerslaw1@aol.com>

Fri, Mar 4, 2022 at 11:05 AM

I can tell that you are making a mountain out of a molehill. You should star in a TV drama, soap.

Attacking you???? I'm only asking that you show me the specific posts that you are referring to.

slander: a false **spoken** statement about someone that damages their reputation.

You are representing the most EVIL, Malicious, chronic liars on the face of the earth. Make sure they pay you upfront.

You misread Doss: There was no merit in the underlying claim. It was all fabricated to cause us Harm, which it did.

The agreement shows no such merit, THEY didn't want to go to court. They even complained to the judge at one status meeting that the case was taking so long and they were tired of the legal battle. THEY wanted to end it.

We agreed to the agreement ONLY because there were no indication of our guilt to any f the charges AND, that we were not able to contact the child AND that I had no intentions of posting the child' sphoto on the SPECIFIC MEDIA PLATFORMS listed in the agreement.

Regardless that the cases were file OBO the child, your clients are the ones that filed the case with malicious intent and fabricated allegations (exploiting the innocent child)

THERE IS NO WAY THAT I AM DROPPING THIS CASE. AND WILL NOT CEASE AND DESIST ANYTHING THAT IS NOT PART OF ANY AGREEMENT.

Furthermore, as you may know, I am documenting every event; every word that is part of these cases, which will be included in my documentary "The Family From Hell"

Therefore everything you say (including these emails) and do, proper or improper will
be included in the documentary, where I exercise my constitutional right to free speech
Govern yourself accordingly.

FYI most likely will want to depose your clients, so you may want to prepare them for that.

[Quoted text hidden]