1) The petitioner's father states that on December 22, 2019 the respondent called him inquiring about the petitioner as the petitioner's phone is blocked from the respondent and her ex-husband. The respondent told the petitioner's father that they wanted to see the petitioner that they had presents for her. The petitioner's father said NO, you will not see the petitioner she does not want to see you. The petitioner's father states that the respondent said "if you want us to stop harassing you then let us see the petitioner." The petitioner's parents reported this to the Hollywood police.

I Called Lazaro on December 10^{th.} I asked if Marc & I could see Yoly in their presence since we had missed her birthday in September and would like to see her for a few minutes Lazaro said he would let me know by Friday the 13th. I texted Lazaro on Friday the 13th, in WhatsApp: "**Primo puedo pasar manana o no contéstame**" He didn't respond so I texted his wife on Saturday the 14th, in WhatsApp. Also, we did not say anything about harassing them. Asking to see Yoly once is NOT harassment.

That is irrelevant as it had nothing to do with the child, she only spoke to Lazaro.

2) The petitioner's father states that on June 19th the respondent was home with her ex-husband and the petitioner. The petitioner's father states that the respondent insisted that the petitioner was a pornographic movie about masturbation with the respondent and the respondent's ex-husband. The petitioner's father further states that after a while the respondent walked out and left the petitioner who was in her care alone with the respondent's ex-husband and went to sleep out in the living room placing the petitioner in danger. The petitioner ran out to her parent's bedroom in fear that the respondent's ex-husband would touch her.

On June 22nd, around 10:00pm Marc Yoly & I were watching a scary movie "Fright Night 2" in the bedroom. We only watched a small part. We were trying to rest, as the Santos family were leaving to the airport at 2:00am. Marc stayed over because we were using his truck to load all the stuff they were taking to Cuba. Yoly & I could not sleep. Yoly kept playing on her cell phone. I just tossed & turned. The TV was on, so I put it on mute so marc could get some rest for a few minutes. Finally, around 1:00am I decided to go to the living room. The bedroom door was open, marc had fallen asleep. I was on the sofa, Yoly came out of the bedroom and sat with me for 5 to 10 minutes. Then she got up and went to her parent's bedroom. They came out shortly after stating that they were able to take a nap because Yoly was not in the room. I went to my room and woke marc up so we could load the truck and take them with 2 cars to the airport. They were taking 3 tv's and 3 huge duffle bags and much more, so we had to take 2 cars. At no time did we ever watch any kind of pornographic movies with Yoly. At no time was Yoly in fear of marc. Yoly was never in fear of marc.

Now it's a "Masturbation movie" but in a prior text she claimed that Yolanda was teaching her how to masturbate. There was not a mention of a movie in that text. The text was on Sept 19th, 2020.

3) The petitioner's father states that the respondent is obsessed with the petitioner. The petitioner also states that the respondent had cameras installed and would constantly watch the petitioner through the cameras.

I was not obsessed with Yoly. I had cameras in my home for security. Every time there was a movement in the living room the camera would notify me via text of someone walking or my cats walking in the living room. The Santos family never questioned the cameras as they were installed since December 2018. Now, they didn't like the fact that I had cameras as they were bringing stolen merchandise from Lazaro's job. He would sneak in the back door where there were no cameras, putting in his bedroom stuff he had collected from work. There were lamps, coffee tables, a computer desk, and various house furnishings as well as toilet paper, paper towels, cleaning supplies, all stolen from his and her job. They were stacked in their little bedroom, from floor to ceiling. The only thing you could see in the room other than these items was the full-size bed where they all slept together. I worried that they were going to start stealing from me.

That is irrelevant as it has nothing to do with Sexual Violence to the child

4) The petitioner's father states that the petitioner says that the respondent would attempt to impose the respondent's ex-husband on the petitioner. The petitioner's father states that the petitioner said that the respondent told her she needed to make the respondent's ex-husband feel special. The petitioner's father states that this made her feel very uncomfortable. The petitioner's father states that if the petitioner showed affection to the respondent the respondent would them make the petitioner show affection to the respondent's ex-husband as well.

I did not impose Marc on Yoly, to make Marc feel special. I merely suggested to write Marc a little note, like she was always writing to me, thanking me, loving me, and appreciating me. Marc was always included in our outings. I asked Yoly in front of her mother once, to please write a little something for Marc also, so he could feel appreciated for all the things he had done for her. Yoly never felt uncomfortable about me saying that. She responded saying: OK, that she would because she loved him also.

That is irrelevant as it has nothing to do with Sexual Violence to the child

5) The petitioner's father states that the petitioner is afraid of the respondent and is seeking the intervention of the courts to keep the respondent away from her and from committing further acts of violence. SDJC/JDR/COC

Lies, ridiculous. What violence was there ever committed? There was NEVER any violence to Yoly from Marc or myself.